

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/921,843	IVERSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724	

All Participants:

(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

(2) Delbert J. Barnard.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 March 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Prior Art Rejections as stated in the Office action dated 10-10-03

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior Art of Record

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend the claims and cancel claims 2 and 14 as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record, in view of page 2 of the instant specification, and for the sake of clarity and accuracy.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Peter A. Hruskoci

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)